

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,475 11/30/2001		11/30/2001	Roger Proksch	41369/LTR/A656	4570	
20985	7590	03/01/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI		SON, PC	PATIDAR	PATIDAR, JAY M		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2862	2862	
				DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/016,475	PROKSCH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jay M. Patidar	2862		

Advisory Action	10/016,475 PROKSCH ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jay M. Patidar	2862				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
 THE REPLY FILED <u>on 02-06-06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL		•				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since			
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		504450			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  I The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	F 10L-324).			
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).			
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13.		Jay M. Patidar Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2862				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For non-ferromagnetic coil forms, please note references on PTO-892 which was explained in the previous office action. The applicant's arguments are not deemed to be persuasive with respect to rejected claims as explained in the previous office action.